

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,476	08/07/2006	Robert L. Crook	VOI0449.US	4629
41863 TAYLOR & A	7590 12/31/200 AUST P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 560		CAMERON, ERMA C		
142. S Main S Avilla, IN 467			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,476	CROOK ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Erma Cameron/	1792			

	/Erma Cameron/	1792						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		I E Delow);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	The objection to the specification.							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) in the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		Il be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
	/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1792							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: The applicant has argued that the foam or froth of butt116 is not a dispersion. The examiner disagrees. 116 states that the foam is homogeneous with respect to the internal distribution of resin particles and is the means of distribution of the resin particles (24.9-63). This is a dispersion. The applicant has also argued that '116 applies resin particles onto a base but not onto a fiber batt. The examiner disagrees with this assessment. These used by '116 may be the same as the base of Dut'359, which may have fibers on top of the base(4:15-24). The applicant has argued that their fibers do not melt and fuse to one another unlike Dut'359. However, Dut'116 does not teach that the fibers is the together, nor is this negative limitation in the claims. Regarding the weight limitation of claim 16, it is the examiner's position that the weight range to be employed could be optimized without undue experimentation.